

1  
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
4

5 GUSTAVO ALVIZAR,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

9 Respondents.  
10  
11  
12

Case No. 3:18-cv-00425-HDM-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 21)**

13 In this habeas corpus action, after a 90-day initial period to file their answer,  
14 a 38-day extension of time, and a 45-day extension of time, the respondents were due  
15 to file an answer by December 23, 2019. See Order entered July 1, 2019 (ECF No. 14)  
16 (90 days from ruling on motion to dismiss for answer); Order entered September 23,  
17 2019 (ECF No. 18) (38-day extension of time); Order entered October 31, 2019 (ECF  
18 No. 20) (45-day extension of time).


19 On December 16, 2019, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time  
20 (ECF No. 21), requesting a third extension of time, of 45 days, to February 6, 2020, to  
21 file their answer. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary  
22 because of her obligations in other cases.

23 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good  
24 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the  
25 extension of time requested.

26 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' motion for extension of  
27 time (ECF No. 21) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including  
28 **February 6, 2020**, to file their answer.

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further  
2 proceedings set forth in the order entered September 6, 2018 (ECF No. 3) will remain in  
3 effect (Petitioner will have 60 days to file a reply to Respondents' answer.).  
4

5           Dated this 17th day of December, 2019.  
6

7           

8           \_\_\_\_\_  
9           HOWARD D. McKIBBEN,  
10           UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28